

EFFECTS OF THE WAR ON SURGERY AND MEDICINE--BATTLESHIPS STILL RULE SEAS

Year Presents Record of Progress in Spite of War's Destruction

Sanitary Problems Conquered, Surgery Advanced, Science of Aeronautics Developed, Temperance Movement Spread, Heavy Gains in Church Membership.

U. S. WEALTH INCREASED AS NEVER BEFORE

The year just closed—the first full year of the greatest war in history—in spite of its unparalleled destruction, presents a record of progress and achievement in many fields of activity. It has also added much to the sum of the world's knowledge.

Over the subjoined record of the year's lessons and achievements in many branches of human activity the shadow of the great conflict appears plainly. Indeed, there is scarcely to be found a field of human labor in which the war to some degree has not entered as a factor. But it is war's constructive rather than its destructive side which is revealed here for the most part.

Paralyzing as the war has been to many activities, it has had the effect of stimulating men to mightier labors to offset its awful wastage. In this country particularly it has acted as a spur to invention and research, has led to greater efforts in the field of philanthropy, has aroused if anything a deeper religious feeling and brought a tremendous increase in our national wealth.

The year has written a brilliant page in the history of medicine and surgery. Sanitary problems heretofore believed insurmountable have been met and conquered, the health of millions of soldiers, subjected to the most dreadful kind of exposure known, has been not only conserved but even improved over what it was in times of peace; typhoid, the dread scourge of armies, has been almost eradicated, and the mortality from septicemia reduced by antiseptic surgery and antitoxins to as low as 3 per cent. Wonderful feats of surgery have been performed. In the general medical field the year has to its credit discoveries respecting the spread of typhus fever, cholera and typhoid which are likely to be of inestimable value to the human race.

Enormous Increase in National Wealth.

For this country in no way was the year more remarkable than for the increase it brought to the national wealth. Due to the war gold poured into the United States in an unending stream, until the end of year found us with more of the yellow metal than any two countries combined ever possessed before in the world's history. It saw the nation pass from a debtor to one holding the whole world in its debt, with a trade balance in its favor of more than a billion and a half. A vast increase in the amount of money in circulation and in the deposits and total resources of national banks was another feature of the year.

In the great field of electrical science notable achievements were recorded, such as the linking of the two oceans by telephone, and at the close of the year the transmission of the human voice by wireless across the continent and as far west as Honolulu and across the Atlantic to the Eiffel Tower in Paris.

The war gave added impetus to the new science of aeronautics. Not only did the year see aeroplanes standardized and turned out in great numbers like automobiles, but it saw new and bigger types evolved, types never before thought practical, enabling tremendous loads to be carried through the air at wonderful speed. And it saw an American's invention, the Sperry gyroscopic stabilizer, applied to these machines in such a way as to remove most of the hazards of aerial navigation. Commercially in this new field this country as a result of war orders stepped into first place as a producer of aeroplanes and their parts.

The war, too, made its impress upon some of the great social movements of the times. The temperance movement plainly has received help from the demonstration of greater efficiency that has followed the curtailment of alcohol consumption in countries like Russia, France and England. Indications are that the cause of temperance is moving on toward greater victories, with no less than eighteen States in this country now prohibiting the sale of liquor altogether.

Great Gains in Church Membership.

Far from being a year of apathy and religious despair, the year has witnessed almost a religious revival. Tremendous gains in church membership have been made, enormous sums have been given for church purposes and the work of relief and the sale of Bibles throughout the world has taken a big jump.

The world of art the year naturally has been remarkable. It saw New York become the art metropolis of the world, the one great city where artists could work under normal conditions. The year was also made notable by the artistic success of the Panama-Pacific Exposition.

The progress of the war itself during the year contained many valuable technical lessons, some of them foreboding revolutionary changes in the military establishments of the nations. They have been lessons of equipment rather than tactics. Chief among them has been the enormous amount of munitions essential to the prosecution of modern war. The year brought sharply into prominence the increased function of the high explosive shell and saw the machine gun become a factor upon which the fate of whole campaigns may turn.

Though failing to produce the long awaited great sea action, the naval side of the war has not been without its technical lessons, emphasizing in the forced inactivity of the German fleet the old rule that an inferior fleet must either suffer defeat or remain in port and surrender command of the sea. It has also shown that no practical number of battleships can prevent battle cruisers of higher speed keeping the sea and destroying commerce.

DISEASE IS NO LONGER AS DEADLY AS SHOT AND SHELL

Not only have preventive medicine and surgery scored wonderful triumphs in the care of the wounded, but the medical officers in the field have succeeded in conserving the health of the armies in a way that will make the year epochal in its results, according to this Sun's medical observers.

Discussing this record for THIS SUN Dr. Louis Livingston Seaman, who visited the hospitals at the front in the early part of the war and whose investigations in the Spanish-American, Russo-Japanese, Balkan and other wars made him a competent authority, said:

"The year has been a memorable one and will form a brilliant page in the history of preventive medicine and surgery when the results attained are fully disclosed."

"In the first place it has shown that the majority of disease in war is preventable. Heretofore the mortality from disease has been from five to fifty times greater than from battle casualties. But in the year just past this record has been reversed through the enforcement of sanitary measures and in the practice of truths that have never before been put into execution."

"Mortality Greatly Reduced. In the Crimean war, where the total mortality amounted to 52,000, 56,000 deaths were from disease, most of which were preventable. It was then that Florence Nightingale, the red cross nurse, entangled the medical department of the army and saved what remained of it. In our civil war, when the mortality reached 600,000, about half were from disease. In the Boer war ten times as many soldiers died from health as from war. In the Spanish-American war 293,000 died from disease, 2,681 from war. In the Russo-Japanese war the Japanese were not enough to take advantage of the mistakes of past wars and to give medical aid to the wounded and to sanitation. The result was that the mortality was four times greater from disease than from battle. In other words they reversed the statistics of the war and made a record never before approached in the annals of war."

"In the present European war the health of the men, even when subjected to the dampness and exposure of the trenches, has been better than in time of peace. This has resulted from the enforcement of simple laws of hygiene and sanitation, good rationing and the use of antiseptics, the prevention of infectious, contagious and epidemic diseases, and the use of disinfectants."

"This is the first great war in which antiseptic surgery, first developed in the Crimean war, has been almost universally used. The result is that there now is comparatively little typhoid fever in the early months of the war. It prevailed extensively among the British and French troops in Flanders and France. The rivers were swarming with bacilli typhus, and also were other sources of water supply."

Typhoid Now in Hand.

"In some hospitals I visited two-thirds of the patients were victims of typhoid. The soldiers of the British and French armies of this year were largely responsible for this condition. They spread a report that the typhoid vaccine shortened life and succeeded in creating such a sentiment of antagonism against it that a 'no vaccination' clause in the enlistment contracts was demanded by the refusing men. In France until they had submitted to this treatment, most that died of disease were of typhoid, which has ceased to be a serious menace."

"Wonderful progress has been made in the prevention of typhoid by the modern injection of anti-typhoid serum. In surgery one can make no comparison between the old and the new. The old was so different, most of those caused by shattered production of frightful lacerations. In wars of the past infection from wounds caused 20 per cent of the mortality among the wounded. It is the use of the modern method of surgery and the immediate attention given to the wound that has led to the reduction of this figure to 1 per cent."

"In the American Women's War Hospital near Southampton there was but one fatality among the first 1,000 cases treated."

"Improved sanitation has had a marked effect. Military authorities are learning that one medical officer with authority to enforce sanitation at the front is worth ten at the rear. The medical men now see that the soldiers are properly fed, clothed and sheltered. Proper result there are no healthier, more men to be found today than those at the front. This is one of the greatest achievements the year has witnessed."

WAR GIVES SCIENCE LITTLE—DR. BARUCH

Preventive Methods Improve, but Treatment of Wounds at a Standstill.

HOW ANESTHESIA FAILS

Asked by a Sun reporter to review the progress made in medicine and surgery during the year 1915, Dr. Simon Baruch said:

Your question in regard to what most impresses me in the present state of the medical profession is difficult to answer without a certain reflection. My earliest medical activities were in camp and on the battlefield over half a century ago. Naturally I am most deeply interested in the surgical developments of the great war that is now convulsing Europe and compare them with my own observations on the battlefields of the civil war. It appears to me that there has not been any improvement in the management of the seriously wounded during the past half century. I had six fatal cases of tetanus after the battle of Gettysburg and many cases of gangrene. I note that they have been as numerous in the modern civil practice as in the past, and that the celebrated antiseptic discovered by Dr. Lister, the modern method of aseptic surgery, the use of the modern antiseptic solution of chlorinated soda.

But there has been no less deadly. We are told that the modern rifle bullet, pointed and of small calibre, is less deadly, but to one who has been fighting in the open and compares it with the smooth-bore, the present day idea seems fallacious. It is true that, as compared with the ploughed tracks made by the mule ball, the smooth-bore track of the modern rifle bullet is less destructive to the surrounding tissues; but we must not fail to take account of the fact that most of the wounds inflicted by the modern rifle bullet are in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

There has been no account of bayonet wounds, probably because the modern larger bayonet inflicts an almost immediately fatal wound. I happen to recall that the most of the wounds which were inflicted by the bayonet were in the head and die, while the only other exposed parts of the body, the arms and shoulders, receive wounds that are not so destructive to the tissues. The modern rifle bullet is indeed humane, because it ends the soldier's suffering, but it is also a cruel and deadly method of range-finding make it more certain fatal.

Victory's to the Strong, Year's Naval Lesson, Says Capt. Sims

By CAPT. WILLIAM S. SIMS, U. S. N.

In my opinion naval officers have learned no lessons of any importance during the past year that had not heretofore been thought out. They have always known that the only practicable defense of our coast, commerce and outlying possessions is an efficient offensive that will give us complete command of all of the seas that include our interests—that for this purpose battleships, battle cruisers, scouts, destroyers, submarines, mine layers, and the necessary supply auxiliaries, naval stations, &c., are necessary in numbers commensurate with their task, those numbers depending upon the similar forces of possible enemies. They have always known that an inferior fleet must suffer defeat or remain in port and surrender the command of the sea; that no practicable number of battleships can prevent battle cruisers of higher speed keeping the sea and destroying commerce; that the latter can be run down only by vessels of similar speed and power; that submarines can operate successfully only by surprise, that they can be kept at bay, run down and destroyed by a sufficient number of destroyers, patrol boats, &c., properly equipped.

If there had been any real fleet actions comprising all fleet types of vessels some valuable lessons would doubtless have been learned. On the other hand, the man on the street has learned a great deal. He learned that battleships are no good, that submarines were more powerful and much cheaper. Then he learned that battle cruisers were the real thing, and finally that submarines did not amount to much after all.

He must now be somewhat up in the air and about ready to conclude that neither he nor his representatives are competent to decide upon the extremely technical question of the types and numbers of vessels required for our defense. While he does finally decide that we shall not depend upon force for our protection, and if he decides for the former he may be willing to accept professional advice as to the naval forces needed.

The only really valuable lesson to date is that nothing could be more dangerous for the public to insist upon forcing upon the Government type and numbers of vessels which in the opinion of naval officers would be inefficient or insufficient or even dangerous in use.

This would be no more reasonable or less dangerous than for the donors of a hospital to insist upon corkscrews being used exclusively for extracting appendicitis.

SHIP WITH BIG GUNS STILL MISTRESS OF SEVEN SEAS

Submarine Merely Pocket Revolver of a Fleet, Says Navy Expert—Dreadnought of Future May Make 35 Knots an Hour.

SPEED AND LONG RANGE FIRE MEAN VICTORY

The year 1915 was not as important in a technical naval sense as the previous years, because it did not produce any great naval actions. It was almost exclusively a year of submarine warfare, directed for the most part against merchantmen. The actions that did take place were mostly between smaller ships and added nothing to what the naval world already knew.

Predictions made early in the year that the cruising radius of the undersea boat would be extended were verified and the new type of large fleet submarine figured more conspicuously in the naval record of the year than did any other type of vessel. While the Germans were the first to develop this kind of undersea boat, long before the year closed British submarines with a radius of action equal to that of the German boats had penetrated the Baltic, reached the Dardanelles and appeared in the Sea of Marmara, sinking merchant vessels and enemy war vessels.

By the advance of these submarines had not the estimate of most critics, justified the belief entertained by some at the time of their first appearance, that they spelled the doom of the big gun ship. Supremacy of the superdreadnought and the battle cruiser has been shown clearly throughout the year. The submarine, however, still held its own, and the British navy has been able to maintain through its possession of a preponderance of large fighting craft.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

Another lesson is that the ammunition supply should be greater. When the German cruisers went to the bottom at the Falklands they had fired all their shells, and the British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off. The British battle cruisers, having a larger supply of shells, were able to finish them off.

MACHINE GUN BIG SURPRISE IN WAR

Hannibal Would Be a Good Soldier Now, Expert Thinks, but Arms Change.

DAY OF CAVALRY PASSING

The war, on its purely technical side, contained many valuable lessons for military men during the year 1915. Some of these lessons were beginning to be glimpsed as 1914 drew to a close, but it has taken the year just ended to reveal what a marked change has taken place in many of the appliances and engines of warfare.

It is an old adage of military men that tactics never change; that they are the same today as in the days of Hannibal. Tactically considered, therefore, fighting throughout the year has not contained any great surprises nor been such as to render necessary a change in the text books.

In the opinion of some military men the course of the year has served to emphasize still more the decline in importance of the cavalry arm. This was brought out in the early months of the year when the German army reported to have made some use of cavalry in their campaign along the Baltic and there was a report that cavalry had been used by the French in a charge that formed part of the fall drive, a maneuver which stirred the Germans to derisive comments, indicating that the German war sharp had given up cavalry for this purpose.

If the war has proved cavalry useless for its old time purposes, it means that many armies will have to be reorganized, as cavalry always has been one of the important branches of the army. It is estimated that more than \$20,000,000 has been spent for cavalry since 1915. The Curtis company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan. The Curtis company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the most important happenings of the past year commercially was the sale of the Wright Aeroplanes Company of Dayton, Ohio, to the Curtiss Aeroplane and Motor Company of Hammondsport, N. Y. The Curtiss company has about \$5,000,000 in view. The Glen L. Martin company, of New York, has a similar plan.

Some of the